# **Fraction Schemes and Magnitude as Predictors of Algebraic Functional Thinking**

## Mathematics Education Learning & Development Lab

- for algebra success (Viegut et al., 2024).
- subconstruct, functional thinking.

algebraic functional thinking?

functional thinking than fraction magnitude.

- American Indian/Alaska Native



Devon Adams, Paige Sideris, Sangmi Park, Valerie Buroker, and Percival Matthews University of Wisconsin-Madison



Descriptives						
	Functional	Fraction	Frac			
Grade (n)	Thinking	Schemes	Comp			
	(%)	(%)	(%			
7 <sup>th</sup> (20)	41.45	66.54	87.			
8 <sup>th</sup> (22)	59.20	73.96	88.			
9 <sup>th</sup> (16)	63.08	71.39	88.			

RESULTS

## **Multiple Regression**

The overall regression predicting functional thinking was statistically significant, F(5, 35) = 9.28, p < .001, adj.  $R^2 = .51$ .

	β	SE	t	p		
Grade	2.50	0.54	4.64	<.001***		
Math Anxiety	-2.68	0.64	-4.22	<.001***		
Fraction Comparison	0.13	0.05	2.49	.02*		
Number Line Estimation	0.02	0.15	0.10	.92		
Fraction Schemes	-0.05	0.16	-0.29	.78		
Notes $*n < .05$ $**n < .01$ $***n < .001$						

## DISCUSSION

- Fraction comparison predicts functional thinking when controlling for grade and math anxiety.
- Similarities between fraction comparison and functional thinking could explain our results.
- Math anxiety predicts functional thinking.
- Did not replicate previous findings with fraction schemes and algebra.
- Future research should increase the sample size and examine other algebra subconstructs.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research reported here was supported in part by the National Science Foundation award #2301010. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF. Contact information: djadams6@wisc.edu and psideris@wisc.edu







